

## On Some Problems of M. Z. Nashed on Outer Inverses

Boris Shekhtman

*University of California, Riverside*

*Department of Mathematics*

*Riverside, California 92521*

Submitted by R. S. Varga

---

### ABSTRACT

While not every linear operator on a Banach space has a generalized inner inverse, the situation for outer inverses is different. Every operator on a Banach space has an outer inverse. That answers one problem posed by M. Z. Nashed.

---

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces, and let  $L(X, Y)$  be the space of continuous linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ .

Let  $A \in L(X, Y)$ . An operator  $B \in L(Y, X)$  is called an *inner inverse* for  $A$  if

$$ABA = A,$$

and an *outer inverse* for  $A$  if

$$BAB = B$$

It is well known that  $A \in L(X, Y)$  has an inner inverse iff  $\ker A$  and  $\text{range } A$  are complemented in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

It is also easy to see that every  $A \in L(X, Y)$  has a trivial outer inverse:  $B = 0$ .

In [1, problems (a), (b), (c)], Professor Nashed asked for a criterion in terms of  $A$  for the existence of a nontrivial bounded outer inverse.

The following simple observation provides a solution to all three problems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  be an arbitrary homogeneous map.  $F$  has a nontrivial outer inverse in  $L(Y, X)$  iff  $F \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F \neq 0$ , there exists  $x_0 \in X$  so that

$$0 \neq Fx_0 \in Y.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem we can find a linear continuous functional  $\varphi \in Y^*$  so that

$$\varphi(Fx_0) = 1.$$

Now the outer inverse is given by

$$By = \varphi(y)x_0, \quad y \in Y. \quad (1)$$

Indeed

$$BFB y = B(\varphi(y)F(x_0)) = \varphi(y)\varphi(F(x_0))x_0 = By. \quad \blacksquare$$

**REMARK 1.** If  $x_0$  is a unique (up to a constant) element in  $X$  with  $F(x_0) \neq 0$ , then the outer inverse (1) is unique up to the choice of the functional  $\varphi$ .

Theorem 1 has the following simple modification:

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $A \in L(X, Y)$ , and let

$$\dim \text{range } A \geq n \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Then, for every  $m \leq n$ , there exists an outer inverse to  $A$  with an  $m$ -dimensional range.

*Proof.* By assumption, we can pick  $m$  linearly independent elements  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$  so that the elements  $Ax_1, \dots, Ax_m$  are linearly independent. Then, the Hahn-Banach theorem furnishes us with  $m$  functionals  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m \in Y^*$  biorthogonal to  $(Ax_1, \dots, Ax_m)$ , i.e.,  $\varphi_j(Ax_i) = \delta_{ij}$ . Now, an outer inverse  $B$  is given by

$$B(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(y)x_i. \quad \blacksquare \quad (3)$$

REMARK 2. From the definition of the outer inverse, it is easy to see that the construction (3) is the only one possible in the following sense:

(a) If  $\dim \text{range } A = n$ , then an outer inverse  $B$  has to have at most an  $n$ -dimensional range.

(b) Since  $BA$  has to be a projection, the only way we can choose functional  $\varphi_i$  is biorthogonal to  $(Ax_i)$ .

REMARK 3. Theorem 2 shows that the questions on the existence of outer inverses are more challenging if we ask for the existence of outer inverse with such properties as "being removed from the finite-dimensional operators."

Here is a simple example of such a statement.

THEOREM 3. *An operator  $A \in L(X, Y)$  has an outer inverse with an infinite-dimensional range iff there exists a closed infinite-dimensional subspace  $X_0 \subset X$  such that  $Y := AX_0$  is complemented in  $Y$  and*

$$X_0 \cap \ker A = \{0\}. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $A_0 = A|_{X_0}: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ . Then

$$\ker A_0 = \{0\} \quad \text{range } A_0 = Y_0.$$

Hence there exists an inverse  $A_0^{-1}: Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ . Let  $P_0$  denote the projection from  $Y$  onto  $Y_0$ . Define  $B$  by

$$B_y = A_0^{-1}P_0y.$$

We claim that  $B$  is an outer inverse to  $A$ .

Indeed,

$$BABy = BA[A_0^{-1}(P_0y)] = BP_0y = A_0^{-1}P_0P_0y = A_0^{-1}P_0y = By.$$

The second equality follows from the fact that  $P_0y \in Y_0$ ; the fourth from  $P_0^2 = P_0$ . Conversely, let  $B$  be an outer inverse to  $A$  with an infinite-dimensional range:  $X_0$ . Then, it is obvious that  $\text{range } B \cap \ker A = \{0\}$ . Clearly,  $AB$  is a projection onto a subspace  $Y_0 := AX_0 = ABY$ . ■

REMARK 4. In particular, if  $\ker A$  and  $\text{range } A$  are complemented, we can choose  $X_0$  so that

$$\ker A \oplus X_0 = X.$$

The last theorem can be used to construct an example of an operator  $A \in L(X, Y)$  with an infinite-dimensional range that does not have an outer inverse with an infinite-dimensional range. Indeed, let  $X = l_1$ ,  $Y = c_0$ , and  $A$  be the inclusion mapping of  $X$  into  $Y$ . Then for  $A$  to have an outer inverse we have to find an infinite-dimensional subspace  $Y_0$  in  $\text{range } A$  which is complemented in  $c_0$  and isomorphic to a subspace of  $l_1$ . This is impossible, since (cf. [2]) every such subspace  $Y_0 \subset c_0$  must be isomorphic to  $c_0$  and hence cannot be isomorphic to the subspace of  $l_1$ .

Professor G. Gierz has noticed that the range of  $A$  cannot contain any infinite-dimensional *closed* subspaces of  $c_0$ .

The next example deals with the case when  $Y$  is reflexive and was suggested to me by the referee.

Let  $A: l_1 \rightarrow l_p$  be the identity, where  $1 < p < \infty$ . If  $A$  had an outer inverse, then there would exist a  $Y_0 \subset l_p$ , complemented, such that  $Y_0$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $l_1$ . Take  $\{y_n\} \subset Y_0$  with  $\|y_n\| = 1$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $Y_0$ . Then  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $l_1$ , and thus  $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Contradiction.

*The author is pleased to acknowledge many suggestions by the referees. Professor M. Z. Nashed has recently informed me that similar results were obtained independently in M. Z. Nashed "On generalized inverses and operator ranges" ISNM 60, pp. 85–96.*

## REFERENCES

- 1 M. Z. Nashed, Best approximation problems arising from generalized inverse operator theory, in *Approximation Theory III* (E. W. Cheney, Ed), 1980, pp. 667–673.
- 2 A. Pelczynski, Projections in certain Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* 19:209–228 (1960)

*Received 2 February 1985; revised 26 March 1985*